

PUBLISHED COMMENTS ON MACHINA'S WRITING

Does not include anything published during my period as a journal's co-editor, or anything from books or special issues of journals for which I was a co-editor or guest co-editor.

"Machina also gives an excellent account of empirical testings of the axioms..." Paul Samuelson, *Foundations of Economic Analysis* (Enlarged Edition), 1983, 518.

"In an illuminating review article, Machina (1989) remarks..." Amartya Sen, *Theory and Decision*, 1985, 18 (2), 123.

"Machina's texts are *often* elliptic, not very clear, ambiguous, and consequently difficult to read." Maurice Allais, in B. R. Munier (ed.), *Risk, Decision and Rationality*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., 1988, 347.

"The most eloquent spokesman of independence violations is Machina (1982a,302-306;1983a,b; 1985)." Peter Fishburn, *Nonlinear Preference and Utility Theory*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1988, 72.

"Following the excellent presentation by Machina (1982),..." Teddy Seidenfeld, *Economics and Philosophy*, 1988, 4(2), 269.

"Mark Machina's article on the uncertainty literature provided readers like myself whose research interests lie elsewhere a much better understanding of the current issues and debates in research on uncertainty," Robert Goldfarb, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1988, 2(2), 179.

"An excellent review of many ideas is provided by Machina (1987)." Charles Manski, Review of Peter Fishburn's *Nonlinear Preference and Utility Theory*, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 1989, 27(4), 1671.

"A remarkable survey of recent developments" Jean-Jacques Laffont, *Economics of Uncertainty and Information*, MIT Press, 1989, 38.

"... (for an excellent review, see Machina, 1987)." Randall Ellis, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1989, 71(2), 215.

"See Mark Machina (1987) for a very readable survey of the evidence and responses." Daniel Friedman, *American Economic Review*, 1989, 79(5), 1243.

"For a comprehensive discussion of these developments, see Machina (1987)." Vernon Smith, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1989, 3(1), 164.

"Machina (1982) is a well-written discussion of other ways of modeling choice between uncertain outcomes." E. Rasmussen, *Games and Information: An Introduction to Game Theory*, 1989, p.66.

"What behavior then is consistent with an institution-free world ...? I begin by quoting Mark Machina's characterization of what is meant by expected utility theory, which is the underlying behavioral assumption of neoclassical economics:..." Douglass North, *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990, 18.

"See Machina (1987) for an insightful survey of a variety of experimental evidence that seems to challenge one or more of the basic axioms of expected utility theory." Paul Carlin, *Economics Letters*, 1990, 34, 241.

"Excellent surveys and discussions of some alternative approaches and considerations are provided by Machina (1987) and Sarin (1989)." Ira Horowitz, *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 1990, 11(5), 356.

- “The chapter by Mark Machina is an excellent account of the achievements and limitations of expected utility theory,...” Benjamin Lockwood, Review of *The Economics of Missing Markets: Information and Games* by Frank Hahn, *Economic Journal*, 1990, 100(402), 960.
- “...(for excellent surveys, see Machina, 1987; Weber and Camerer, 1987).” Drazen Prelec, *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 1990, 3(3), 247.
- “Teachers will find many interesting examples and arguments in ‘Dynamic Consistency and Non-Expected Utility Models of Choice under Uncertainty’ by Mark J. Machina” Bernard Saffran, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1990, 4(3), 195 (section titled “Inciting Classroom Interchange”).
- “For a thorough survey of this evidence see Machina (1987) and the references cited there.” Peter Kischka and Clemens Puppe, *Methods and Models of Operations Research*, 1992, 36, 126.
- “...accompanied by Mark Machina’s expert theoretical survey of alternatives to expected utility models.” Robert Grafstein, Review of *The Limits to Rationality*, ed. by K. Cook and M. Levi, *Journal of Politics*, 1992, 54(1), 264.
- “the careful argumentation of Machina (1989) was invaluable in clarifying distinctions such as dynamic consistency and consequentialism.” Irving LaValle, “Small Worlds and Sure Things,” in Edwards (ed.), *Utility Theory: Measurements and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992, 111.
- “Both [Machina’s ‘Expected Utility Hypothesis’ entry and an entry by another author] are exemplary encyclopaedia entries...” Roy Bailey, Review of *The New Palgrave Dictionaries of Economics, Money and Finance*, *Economic Journal*, 1994, 104(424), 674.
- “Machina (1987) provides a helpful introduction to non-expected utility models...” Raymond Sauer, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 1998, 36(4), 2028.
- “There are excellent surveys of behavioral decision theory by Camerer (1998), Machina (1989), Rabin (1977), and Thaler (1991)” Daniel McFadden, *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 1999, 19, 84.
- “A key reference from the huge economics literature on the Allais Paradox is Mark Machina 1982.” Arthur Robson, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2001, 39(1), 23.
- “Machina (1987) remains a recommended survey of alternative theories.” Ariel Rubinstein, *Lecture Notes in Microeconomic Theory: The Economic Agent, Second Edition*, 2012, p.103.
- “A good survey of alternatives that deal with the so-called Allais paradox is Machina (1987).” David Kreps, *Microeconomic Foundations I: Choice and Competitive Markets*, Princeton Univ. Press, 2013, 116.
- “Machina (1987) provides a very helpful and clear survey to this literature.” Sushil Bikhchandani, Jack Hirshleifer and John Riley, *The Analytics of Uncertainty and Information, 2nd ed.*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013, 35.
- “Machina’s (1983, 1987) overviews contain rich and important methodological insights on the EU hypothesis and alternative hypotheses,” “In this new sense, well explained by Machina (1983, 1987),...,” “Machina includes this *utility evaluation effect* in his classic list of effects that are damaging for the EU hypothesis,” Philippe Mongin, *Economics and Philosophy*, 2019, 35, 425,433,434.
- “...the literature is thoroughly canvassed in Machina & Siniscalchi, 2014,” “...the reader is referred to the excellent historical review of the ambiguity literature in Machina and Siniscalchi (2014....” Keiran Sharpe, *Theory and Decision*, 2023, 95, pp.540,541.